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Organizational Endorsers

Alexandria Temple of Universal Metaphysics  Denver  Left Hand Book Collective  Boulder

ACLU of Colorado  Denver  Libertarian Party of Colorado  Arvada

American Friends Service Committee  Denver  Lutheran Office of Governmental Ministry  Denver

Amnesty International  Denver  Mighty Muse Writing Project  Colorado Springs

Arapahoe House  Thornton  Mountain Forum for Peace  Nederland

Bayaud Industries, Inc.  Denver  NAACP Region IV Prison Project  Denver

Blessed Sacrament Catholic Church  Denver  National Alliance for the Mentally Ill  Littleton

Boulder Green Alliance  Boulder  National Lawyers Guild  Denver

Boulder Unity Church  Boulder  Neighborhood Rites of Passage  Denver

Brother Jeffs Cultural Center & Cafe  Denver  New Foundations Nonviolence Center  Denver

Carbondale Clay Center  Carbondale  Northern Colorado CURE  Fort Collins

Catholic Charities  Denver  Northern Colorado Social Legislation Network  Fort Collins

Cell Door Magazine  Mancos  Open Door Youth Gang Alternatives  Denver

Center for Justice, Peace and Environment  Fort Collins  Padres Unidos  Denver

CHARG Resource Center  Denver  PeaceJam Foundation  Arvada

Charity's House Ministries  Denver  Peacemaker Institute  Boulder

Christian Mens Resource Center  Denver  Pendulum Foundation  Colorado Springs

Coloradans Against the Death Penalty  Denver  Physicians for Social Responsibility  Denver

Colorado Anti-Violence Program  Denver  Pikes Peak Justice & Peace Commission  Colorado Springs

Colorado Black Women for Political Action  Denver  Pikes Peak Metropolitan Community Church  Colorado Springs

Colorado Coalition Against Domestic Violence  Denver  Poudre Valley Greens  Fort Collins

Colorado Coalition for the Homeless  Denver  Prison Dharma Network  Boulder

Colorado Criminal Defense Bar Association  Denver  Reclaim Democracy  Boulder

Colorado Cuba Information Project  Denver  Rocky Mountain Mennonite Conference  Pueblo

Colorado CURE  Denver  Rocky Mountain Peace & Justice Center  Boulder

Colorado New Jewish Agenda  Denver  Saint Mary's Cathedral  Colorado Springs

Colorado NOW  Denver  Saint Thomas of Aquinas Univ. Parish (Social Concerns Committee)  Boulder

Colorado Progressive Coalition  Denver  San Luis Valley Christian Comm. Services  Alamosa

Colorado Vincentian Volunteers  Glenwood  San Luis Valley Welfare Advocates  Alamosa

Colorado West Regional Mental Health  Denver  School Mediation Center  Boulder

Colorado Womens Agenda  Boulder  Second Chance Program  Denver

Compassionate Use Respects Everyone  Boulder  Sopris Greens  Carbondale

Conflict Center  Denver  Southern Colorado CURE  Peyton

Cynergetics Institute  Colorado  Summit Greens  Denver

Denver Harm Reduction Project  Denver  Under the Umbrella  Aurora

Denver Inner City Parish  Denver  Urban League of Denver  Denver

Denver Justice & Peace Commission  Denver  Urban League of the Pikes Peak Region  Colorado Springs

EAGR Project  Denver  Victim Offender Reconciliation Program  Boulder

End the Politics of Cruelty  Denver  Victim Offender Reconciliation Program  Denver

Fatherhood Coalition of Metro Denver  Denver  Vincentian Center for Spirituality & Work  Denver

First Congregational Church, UCC  Colorado  Volunteers of America  Denver

(Justice & Peace Committee)  Colorado  Washington Park UCC  Denver

Fort Collins Mennonite Conference  Fort Collins  Weld County Partners  Greeley

Free Speech TV  Boulder  Women United for Justice Community and  Boulder

Gray Panthers of Colorado  Denver  Women's Empowerment Program  Denver

Harm Reduction Project  Salt Lake City,  Women's Int'l League for Peace & Freedom  Boulder

High Desert Greens  Glade Park  Women's Int'l League for Peace & Freedom  Greeley

It Takes A Village  Aurora  Women's Lobby  Denver

Jobs with Justice  Englewood  Word is Out Womens Bookstore  Boulder
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COLORADO’S PERPETUAL PRISON CRISIS

In January 2006, the Colorado Department of Corrections (DOC) submitted a $644 million budget request to the state Legislature, a $53 million increase over the current budget. The DOC also stated that it will run out of prison bed space both for men and women this year. The total prison population is projected to grow from 21,000 to over 29,000 by 2011, a 41% increase. The women’s prison population is projected to grow 71% during that same time. Similarly, the DOC parole population is also projected to increase dramatically to over 8,000 by 2011.

In its briefing before the Joint Budget Committee, the DOC outlined possible state and private prison expansion plans to increase capacity by 8,000 new prison beds including:

- requesting proposals from private prison companies for 3,000 more private prison beds (2,250 more prison beds for men and 750 more prison beds for women)
- increasing double bunking to add 540 more beds statewide
- adding 263 more prison beds for women by switching facilities at the Pueblo Minimum Center with the Youth Offender System
- expanding the recently opened Cheyenne Mountain private prison in Colorado Springs
- converting Camp George West to a women’s facility
- completing construction of a new private prison in Pueblo
- and possible expansions at the state run facilities including Trinidad Correctional Facility, San Carlos Correctional Facility, Arkansas Valley Correctional Facility, Colorado Women’s Correctional Facility, Centennial Correctional Facility, Colorado State Penitentiary and the Denver Reception and Diagnostic Center.

The DOC has estimated that it will need over $387 million in capital construction funding in the next five years, which does not include the construction costs borne by the private prison providers. Although there are no immediate plans, the DOC mentioned that another possibility was to send prisoners to facilities out of state.

Even if all of these prison expansion projects were completed, in 2011, the State will be in the same position as it is today because the construction expansion plans will only pace prison population growth, not exceed it. Between 1992-2004, Colorado’s average annual prison population growth rate of 7% far exceeds the national average of 4.3%. Between this time period, Colorado also has the highest annual growth rate in our immediate region, including Kansas (3.4%), Oklahoma (3.8%), Wyoming (5.3%), New Mexico (5.7%), Arizona (5.8%), and Utah (6.9%).

Sources:
FY 2006-07 Joint Budget Committee, Staff Budget Briefing, Department of Corrections, (January 3, 2006); Department of Corrections, Budget Hearing, (January 9, 2006).
Prison Growth

- As of January 31, 2006, there were 28,243 people under the jurisdiction of the Colorado Department of Corrections (DOC): 18,429 in state and private prisons, 2,407 in community corrections, 496 in jail awaiting transfer to DOC, 267 escapes/walkaway and 6,644 on parole. The prison population has increased 604% since 1980. During the same time, the population of the state increased 59%.

Sources: Colorado Dept. of Corrections, "Monthly Population Report," as of January 31, 2006; Census Data

- The prison population is currently growing by just under 100 people per month.

Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, "Adult Inmate Jurisdictional Population" as of February 7, 2006

- The state currently operates 24 prisons and contracts with private prison operators for 6 more prisons. Since 1990, the state has built 12 new state prisons. All 6 private prisons used by the DOC were constructed since 1993. One new state prison (Colorado State Penitentiary II) and one new private prison (Pueblo) are currently under construction. Additionally, the state will need to add an additional 8,000 prison beds by 2011 to keep pace with the population growth.

Sources: Colorado Dept. of Corrections, Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2004, by Kristi Rosten (2005); Joint Budget Committee, FY 2006-2007 Staff Budget Briefing, Department of Corrections, (1/3/06)
**Why are so many people in prison?**

The explosive growth in the prison population has been a nationwide trend during the 1980s and ‘90s. Colorado is no exception. Three primary factors have increased the number of people in prison in our state:

1. Increasing sentence length and mandatory sentencing
2. The war on drugs
3. The declining use of discretionary parole following the passage of legislation requiring mandatory parole and increasing revocation rates on parole.

**Sentencing Laws**

In 1985, the legislature passed House Bill 85-1320 (The Mielke-Arnold Bill) which doubled the maximum sentence for all felonies. As a result, the minimum sentence for crimes of violence was also substantially increased. The Mielke Bill has been widely acknowledged as the birth of Colorado’s prison explosion. In a 1998 report on Colorado’s adult criminal justice system, the Legislative Council remarked that within three years of the passage of the Mielke Bill, the average sentence length had increased by two-thirds, while the average length of stay (in prison) has increased by 40%.

**Colorado’s incarceration rate**

The incarceration rate is a calculation of the number of adults in prison per 100,000 adult residents in the state. In 1980, Colorado’s adult incarceration rate was 92. By 2004, that had grown to 438 per 100,000 adult residents. This exceeds South Africa (344), Israel (209), Mexico (191), England and Wales (145), Australia (120), China (118), Canada (116), Germany (97), France (88), Sweden (81) and Japan (60).

**Total Prison Population Projected Growth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total Projected Inmate Population</th>
<th>Total Inmate Population Growth Per Year</th>
<th>Total Inmate Population Growth Average per month</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>23,159</td>
<td>1,231</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>24,529</td>
<td>1,379</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>26,014</td>
<td>1,485</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>27,592</td>
<td>1,578</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>29,314</td>
<td>1,722</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7,386</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Incarceration and crime rate:**

Criminologists have studied the relationship between crime rates and incarceration rates and have consistently found only a small correlation between the two. In large part, the lack of correlation between the crime rate and the incarceration rate is because only about 3% of crime ever leads to incarceration. The National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences found that tripling the time served per violent crime from 1975 to 1989 had no clear impact on violent crime. In that study, the Panel on the Understanding and Control of Violent Behavior of the National Research Council found that while violent crime rates did decline during the early eighties, they generally rose after 1985 and this increase continued until 1993.
COST OF INCARCERATION

- From fiscal year 1985-86 to fiscal year 2005-06, the state General Fund appropriation to the Department of Corrections grew from $57 million to $533.1 million, an increase of 476.1 million. This growth rate represents a compound annual growth rate of 11.8% over the 20 year period. For fiscal year 2006-07, the Department of Corrections has requested a total budget (all funding sources) in excess of $644 million.
  
  **Source:** Joint Budget Committee, FY 2006-2007 Staff Budget Briefing, Department of Corrections, pg 2 (1/3/06)

- In fiscal year 1984-85, General Fund appropriations to the Department of Corrections comprised 2.8% of the state operating budget. By FY 2005-06, DOC General Fund appropriations have increased to 8.6% of the state operating budget. By FY 2005-06, DOC General Fund appropriations have increased to 8.6% of the state operating budget.
  
  **Source:** Colorado General Assembly, Joint Budget Committee, Staff Budget Briefing Department of Corrections (January 3, 2006), 4

- In 1991, the Legislature limited annual growth of the General Fund budget to 6%. The FY 2005-06 General Fund appropriation is $172.1 million (44.7%) higher than it would have been had appropriations to the Department of Corrections been limited to 6.0 percent annual compound growth during this time period.
  
  **Source:** Joint Budget Committee, FY 2006-2007 Staff Budget Briefing, Department of Corrections, pg 3 (1/3/06)

- The average FY 2005 annual operating cost per state prison bed is $27,840. To stay even with the population growth thru 2011, the State will have to invest an additional $168,159,770 ($129,136,954 for state and $39,022,816 for private) for operating costs thru 2011. The average cost of construction is $83,360 per prison bed. The average construction cost for special needs and high custody beds is $125,000 and the cost for low security beds would be approximately $65,000. The state will need to invest approximately $386,668,694 in construction costs to accommodate inmates in state beds. This does not include any inflationary increases.

### Cost of Incarcerated State Inmate Projected Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total Inmate Population</th>
<th>Total State Prison Growth</th>
<th>Avg. Monthly Inmate Growth</th>
<th>Avg Cost Per Inmate 2005</th>
<th>Additional Operating Appropriation needed</th>
<th>Average construction cost per bed</th>
<th>Capital construction funding needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>23,159</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>$27,840</td>
<td>$30,501,226</td>
<td>$83,360</td>
<td>$91,328,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>24,529</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>$14,345,952</td>
<td>$14,634,096</td>
<td>$42,955,408</td>
<td>$43,818,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>26,014</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>$14,634,096</td>
<td>$39,099,053</td>
<td>$91,494,269</td>
<td>$91,494,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>29,319</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>$30,556,627</td>
<td>$30,556,627</td>
<td>$91,494,269</td>
<td>$91,494,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>23,159</strong></td>
<td><strong>1096</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$129,136,954</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$386,668,694</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Colorado Department of Corrections, Budget Hearing January 9, 2006, 3,11.
## Prison Bed Expansion-Capital Construction Projects since 1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th># of Beds</th>
<th>Yr approved</th>
<th>Appropriation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas Valley- Phase I and Phase II</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>$42,275,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reception &amp; Diagnostic Center-initial construction</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>$41,915,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>$782,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limon-Phase I and Phase II construction</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>$52,290,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various-Double bunking</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>$3,577,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Women’s Correctional Facility- expansion</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>$1,324,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrowhead Correctional Center</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>$10,826,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Correctional Facility</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>$5,243,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado State Penitentiary (Phase I)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>$48,636,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos Correctional Facility</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>$21,310,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boot Camp</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>$4,690,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado State Penitentiary (Phase II)</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$19,546,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta Correctional Facility</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$7,482,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Women’s Correctional Facility</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$17,599,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Women’s Correctional Facility</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$67,065,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Offender System</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$25,249,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling-Phase I</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>$77,927,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling-Phase II</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$63,138,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado Territorial Correctional Facility</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$4,584,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fremont Correctional Facility – Expansion &amp; Double</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$23,198,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Mile Correctional Facility</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$7,922,967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pueblo Minimum (women)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$641,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifle Correctional Facility</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>$6,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad Correctional Facility</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$29,950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buena Vista Correctional Facility</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$14,078,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total Beds constructed</td>
<td>9,312</td>
<td></td>
<td>$598,057,673</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beds Under Construction</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado State Penitentiary II</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$102,800,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOS/Pueblo Minimum swap (“La Vista”)</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>Fed funds</td>
<td>$1,764,267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Beds – Including beds under construction | 10,523 | $702,621,940 |

*This list reflects only those projects that added beds. It does not include the cost for renovation, planning prison facilities, life safety projects, or other projects that did not add to the capacity of prison beds.

**CSP II will be built using borrowed money. This total does not reflect the total repayment cost to the state for interest and fees.

Source: Colorado General Assembly, Joint Budget Committee, Staff Budget Briefing Department of Corrections (January 3, 2006), Appendix C-2
WOMEN IN PRISON

- In Colorado, the incarceration rate of women has grown faster than the incarceration rate for men. Between 1993 and 2003, the women’s prison population grew by 233.3% while the male prison population grew by 106%. The women’s prison population is projected to grow another 71% by 2011 from just over 2,100 to over 3,500. The men’s prison population is projected to grow by 41% by 2011.

  Source: Colorado Legislative Council, An Overview of the Adult Criminal Justice System, Research Publication 538, 16 (January 2005), Colorado General Assembly, Joint Budget Committee, FY 2006-07 Staff Budget Briefing, Department of Corrections (January 3, 2006), Appendix 1A.

- The United States incarcerates nearly 10 times more women than the countries Western Europe, despite the fact that the overall female population of the two regions is approximately the same.


- In the last ten years, the Colorado Department of Corrections has built 900 new beds for women prisoners at a cost of $93.8 million. In 2004, the first private prison for women opened, Brush Correctional Facility.


- To accommodate the growth in the women’s prison population, the DOC has developed the following five-year prison expansion plan (2006-2011) for women:
  - contracting with private (for-profit) prison operators for 750 more private prison beds for women
  - adding 263 more prison beds for women by switching facilities at the Pueblo Minimum Center with the Youth Offender System
  - converting Camp George West to a women’s facility
  - adding 35 more community corrections/ISP slots for women a year
  - possible expansion at the Colorado Women’s Correctional Facility in Canon City

  Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, Budget Hearing January 9, 2006, 14.

- Eighty-six percent of women sent to Colorado’s prisons in 2004 were convicted of a non-violent offense. The five most frequent crimes were:
  - drugs - 31%
  - theft - 15%
  - attempt/conspiracy/accessory to a nonviolent crime - 14%
  - escape/contraband - 8%
  - forgery - 6%

A majority of women prisoners (65%) are mothers of children under 18 years old. Studies have shown that children are greatly affected (academically, behaviorally, and socially) by the incarceration of their mother. A multi-generational impact has also been observed. National data show that foster care for a prisoner’s child costs between $15,000 and $20,000 per year. Children with an incarcerated mother are 5 to 6 times more likely to become incarcerated than other children who live in poverty, but whose mothers have never been in prison. A 1998 U.S. News and World Report article found that 51% of girls and 24% of boys in juvenile detention in Colorado had a mother who had been or was currently incarcerated.


Mothers in prison risk having their parental rights terminated during their incarceration. Under Colorado state law, a prisoner’s parental rights can be terminated solely on the basis that they won’t be parole eligible for 6 years or, in cases involving children under the age of 12, the parent is not eligible for parole for 3 years. Also, according to state law, the Department of Social Services can file to terminate a prisoner’s parental rights if their children are in out of home placement for 15 of the last 22 months. Meanwhile, the average sentence for a women incarcerated in Colorado is 4 years.

Sources: Colorado Revised Statutes, Section 19-3-604(1); Colorado Department of Corrections, Statistical Report for 2004, by Kristi Rosten (2005), 38.

Women prisoners are three times more likely than men to be seriously mentally ill. In 2002, 42.7% of female inmates had a diagnosis of serious mental illness (compared to 13.9% of male prisoners).

Source: Colorado Dept. of Corrections, Budget Request for FY 2004-05, 625.

90% of women in prison were assessed to be in need of substance abuse treatment. Two-thirds were assessed to be in moderate severe to severe need.

Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, Statistical Report for 2004, by Kristi Rosten (2005), 47

The ethnicity of women in prison is:

- 52.3% Anglo
- 23.2% Latina
- 21% African American
- 2.7% Native American
- .8% Asian


The rate of imprisonment for black women is more than eight time the rate of imprisonment of white women; the rate of imprisonment of Latina women is nearly four times the rate of imprisonment of white women. Regardless of similar or equal levels of illicit drug use during pregnant, black women are 10 times more likely than white women to be reported to child welfare agencies for prenatal drug use.

RACIAL DISPARITY

- **Latino/as** account for 17.1% of the population in Colorado, but make up 29.9% of the prison population.
  

- Similarly, **African-Americans** make up 3.8% of Colorado’s population, but represent 20.7% of the state’s prison population.
  

- **Anglos**, however, are 74.5% of the state’s population, but only 46.4% of the prison population.
  

- Throughout the country, disproportionate minority incarceration can largely be attributed to drug laws. According to the leading federal survey on drug use, most current illicit drug users are white. The survey identifies 69% of all users as Anglo, 12% as African-American, and 14% as Latino/a. Yet, nationwide, African Americans constitute 35% of those arrested on drug charges, over 45% of federal prisoners serving drug sentences, and 58% of state prisoners serving felony drug sentences.
  

- Among persons convicted of drug felonies in state courts, **Anglos were less likely than African-Americans to be sent to prison**. Thirty percent of convicted whites were sent to prison, whereas 48% of convicted black defendants received prison sentences.
  

- According to the U.S. Department of Justice, a male born in 2001 faces the following odds of going to prison during his lifetime:
  
  1 in 3 for African-Americans  
  1 in 6 for Latinos  
  1 in 17 for Caucasians

PEOPLE IN PRISON WITH MENTAL ILLNESS

- According to the Department of Corrections (DOC), in 2003, **16% of people in prison in Colorado had a serious mental illness**. This is more than five times the rate of mental illness reported in 1991. The DOC also found that there are an insufficient number of community based mental health programs to treat people before they end up in prison.
  
  Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, Budget Request 9FY2002-03, 106; Offenders with Serious Mental Illness, executive summary 1998, 1-6.

- The DOC projects that **by 2008, one out of every five people in prison** in Colorado will suffer from a serious mental illness.
  
  Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, Budget Request, 2004-05, 625.

- In 1995, the DOC built the **San Carlos Correctional Facility in Pueblo** to provide psychiatric and psychological services to people in prison with a serious mental illness. Since San Carlos combines intensive psychiatric care with the security functions of a prison, it has the highest operating cost (**$165.18 a day** or **$60,292 a year**).
  
DRUG POLICY

The Colorado Criminal Justice Reform Coalition believes current drug laws and policies are overly-punitive, ineffective, inhumane, racially-biased, expensive, and one of the driving forces behind the largest expansion of the prison population in Colorado history.

Incarceration

- Over the past decade, the number of people sent to prison in Colorado for a drug offense has increased 476%, making drug offenders the fastest growing and largest category of felons in prison. Between fiscal years 1987 and 2001, the percentage of prisoners whose most serious offense is a non-violent drug charge quadrupled from 5% to 20%.
  

- As of June 30, 2004, there were 3,932 people in prison for a drug offense. This costs taxpayers over $106 million dollars per year. In 2001, the DOC profiled people in prison for a drug offense and reported that 50% were convicted of simple possession.
  

- Nationwide, the United States incarcerates more people for drug offenses (458,131), than the European Union does for all offenses combined (356,626), even though the EU has 100 million more citizens than the U.S.
  

Arrest Patterns

- In 1999, there were 16,761 adult drug arrests in Colorado. Eighty-eight percent of arrests were for drug possession - 50% for possession of marijuana, 22% for possession of cocaine, 11% for possession of other controlled substances. Only 11.5% of drug arrests were for drug distribution. The adult arrest rate for a drug crime increased from a rate of 222.1 per 100,000 adult residents (in 1980) to 598.1 per 100,000 adult residents (in 1999).
  

Substance Abuse

- According to the latest national survey of substance abuse patterns, Colorado has the fifth highest rate of drug dependence and abuse of the fifty states and the District of Columbia. Colorado also has the sixth worst treatment gap (i.e., number of people in need of, but not receiving, treatment) of the fifty states and DC. With the current economic crisis in Colorado, the treatment gap will only widen.
  
- A 2001 study by the National Center for Alcohol and Substance Abuse found that Colorado has the lowest per capita spending on substance abuse prevention, treatment, and research out of the 46 reporting states.  
  
  Source: Columbia University, National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse, *Shoveling up: The Impact of Substance Abuse on State Budgets* (2001), 25.

- Substance abuse in Denver is considerably more severe than in the nation as a whole. A 2002 study of substance abuse patterns in Denver revealed that:
  - Rates of binge drinking and chronic drinking are about 40% higher than national rates
  - Denver residents are hospitalized for alcohol-related illnesses at nearly twice the national average - Denver arrests and incarcerates drug offenders at more than twice the national rate - Substance abuse costs Denver residents, businesses and government at least $1.5 billion a year


- According to the Department of Corrections, 90% of men and women in prison are in need of substance abuse treatment. Over two-thirds of women were assessed to be in moderately severe to severe need of substance abuse treatment.


---

**Substance Abuse Treatment**

- **Treatment is effective.** In 1998, the Colorado Drug and Alcohol Abuse Division conducted a survey of people who had completed community-based substance abuse treatment programs. The findings showed:
  - Within one year of completing treatment, 78% of patients reported no substance abuse
  - Of those patients who had been arrested prior to treatment, 80% had no re-arrest after treatment
  - Unemployment among the patients surveyed dropped 41% after completion of treatment

  Source: Colorado Dept. of Human Services, Alcohol and Drug Abuse Division, *Problems in Colorado: Characteristics & Trends*.

- **Treatment is cost effective.** A 2001 report on Colorado substance abuse treatment found that community-based treatment ranges from $400 (for education-based programs) to $20,075 (residential therapeutic community) per patient per year -- contrasted with $28,000 to incarcerate someone in prison.

  Sources: Interagency Advisory Committee on Adult and Juvenile Correctional Treatment, "Statewide Bulletin: Analysis of Offender Substance Abuse Treatment Needs and the Availability of Treatment Services" (December 2001), Colorado Dept. of Human Services, Alcohol and Drug Abuse Division, *The Costs and Effectiveness of Alcohol and Drug Abuse Programs in the State of Colorado*, Report to the Colorado General Assembly (October, 2002).

---

**Racial Disparity**

- People of color are dramatically over-represented in our prisons. Sixty-nine percent of people in Colorado prisons for drug possession or use are people of color.


- African-Americans (who represent 3.7% of the total state population) constitute 22.4% of the state prison population and 43% of people in prison for drug possession or use.

Drug Courts

In recent years, drug courts have become popular and rapidly expanding tool used in processing drug cases. However, Colorado District Judge Morris B. Hoffman (Second Judicial District) has written, “[a]lthough many studies and many kinds of studies have examined drug courts, none has demonstrated with any degree of reliability that drug courts work.” Judge Hoffman goes on to say “[r]eductions in recidivism are so small that if they exist at all they are statistically meaningless. Net-widening is so large, that even if drug courts truly were effective in reducing recidivism, more drug defendants would continue to jam our prisons than ever before.” Furthermore, according to Hoffman,

By existing simply to appease two so diametric and irreconcilable sets of principles, drug courts are fundamentally unprincipled. By simultaneously treating drug use as a crime and as a disease, without coming to grips with the inherent contradictions of those two approaches, drug courts are not satisfying either the legitimate and compassionate interests of the treatment community or the legitimate and rational interests of the law enforcement community. They are, instead, simply enabling our continued national schizophrenia about drugs.


Public Opinion

In 2001, CCJRC commissioned an opinion poll of Colorado voters’ attitudes toward drugs and drug policy. The poll revealed that Coloradans believe the war on drugs is a failure. Seventy-three percent of those polled want to see decreased penalties for drug possession in order to redirect funds to prevention, education and treatment.

Complete results available at www.ccjrc.org

Background on Drug Policy in Colorado

In 2000, Colorado voters approved Amendment 20, which authorizes the medical use of marijuana to alleviate certain debilitating medical conditions. In 2002, the General Assembly passed House Bill 1404, which radically reformed asset forfeiture laws by requiring a criminal conviction prior to forfeiture and raising the burden of proof in civil forfeiture actions to “clear and convincing evidence.” HB 1404 also ends the practice of law enforcement and district attorneys keeping proceeds from forfeiture—instead, after reimbursing victims and lienholders proceeds are split equally between substance abuse treatment and the local government for allocation for public safety. In 2003, Senate Bill 3 18 became law, lowering felony classifications for possession of one gram or less of a controlled substance. SB 3 18 also provides that cost-savings from the prison system be allocated to expanding substance abuse treatment, however, to date, no additional funding for treatment has been allocated. If this doesn’t happen by 2007, the sentencing reform will be repealed.
PAROLE

Trends in Parole

In 1993, the Colorado Legislature passed a law requiring all felons who are sentenced on or after July 1, 1993 to serve a period of mandatory parole when they are released from prison (even if they serve every day of their sentence). The length of the mandatory parole period is determined by the class of the felony and ranges from 1-5 years. For inmates released in 2001, recidivism rates (defined as a return to prison for a new crime or a technical violation) over the following three years was 65.6% for those released on mandatory parole and 52.4% for those released on discretionary parole (i.e., an early release).

As a result, more offenders are on parole, and more get revoked and returned to prison (primarily for technical violations, not new crimes). The idea behind mandatory parole (to provide supervision while an offender is transitioning back into society) is well-intentioned; however, the way in which it is carried out presents many problems. The implementation of mandatory parole has increased the number of parolees, however, the number of discretionary (i.e., early) parole releases has sharply declined in recent years.

28% of people who were sent to prison were revoked for a technical parole violations, - this means that a procedural violation occurred, but no new crime was committed. In response to this trend, the General Assembly enacted Senate Bill 03-252 which limits the amount of time a person can serve in prison on a technical parole violation to 180 days.


Type of Parole as Percent All Paroles

[Graph showing Type of Parole as Percent All Paroles]

Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, Statistical Bulletins OPA 06-3, 05-3, 04-3, 03-2, 02-3, 00-2, 99-1.
### Inmate Releases to Parole

**Fiscal Years 1997 through 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discretionary Parole</strong></td>
<td>2,639</td>
<td>2,714</td>
<td>2,744</td>
<td>2,091</td>
<td>2,216</td>
<td>1,999</td>
<td>2,122</td>
<td>2,345</td>
<td>1,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual % Increase</strong></td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>-23.8%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>-9.8%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>-31.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mandatory Parole</strong></td>
<td>623</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>1824</td>
<td>2127</td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>2630</td>
<td>3019</td>
<td>4688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual % Increase</strong></td>
<td>54.4%</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Releases to Parole</strong></td>
<td>3,262</td>
<td>3,676</td>
<td>4,107</td>
<td>3,915</td>
<td>4,343</td>
<td>4,279</td>
<td>4,752</td>
<td>5,364</td>
<td>6,286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual % Increase</strong></td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>-4.7%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, Budget Hearing January 9, 2006, 37.

### Parole Caseload Projected Growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total Projected Parole Caseload</th>
<th>Parole Inmate Caseload Growth</th>
<th>Total Parole population Growth Avg per month</th>
<th>% change</th>
<th>Ave Cost per parole including ISP FY 2005</th>
<th>Additional Appropriation Needed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>8,740</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>$3,693</td>
<td>$1,521,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>9,108</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>$1,359,082</td>
<td>$1,754,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>9,583</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>$1,754,250</td>
<td>$1,872,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>10,090</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>$2,478,109</td>
<td>$2,478,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>10,761</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,985,452</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$8,985,452</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, Budget Hearing January 9, 2006, 5.

- **In 2004, 49.4% of the prison population was past their Parole Eligibility Date (PED),** meaning that they have been seen and denied discretionary release by the Parole Board one or more times. The PED represents the earliest date a person in prison may be released by discretion of the Parole Board. PED is set at one-half of the sentence for most people in prison and is reduced further by earn time credits. If a person in prison is awarded all possible earned-time, the PED may be as early as 37.5%. The exception is for people convicted of a specific offense that requires they serve 75% of their sentence before being parole eligible. There are currently 188 people in prison are sentenced under enhanced provisions and must serve at least 75% of the sentence before being eligible for parole.

PRIVATE PRISONS (FOR-PROFIT)

A private prison is a correctional facility operated by a for-profit corporation. Many private prison companies are publicly traded, including the industry leaders: Corrections Corporation of America (NYSE: CXW) and GEO Group (formerly Wackenhut Corrections Corporation) (Nyse:GGI).

- As of January 2006, **4,010 Colorado prisoners** were housed in private, for-profit facilities (15% of the prisoner population).
  
  *Source: Colorado Department of Corrections, Statistical Report for Monthly Populations, January 2006*

- In 2004, Colorado ranked eighth in number of state prisoners housed in private facilities (2,819), And eleventh in the percentage of state inmates housed in for-profit prisons (14%). There were 1100 more prisoners in private prisons at the end of 2005 (4,010).


- Colorado has **seven for-profit prisons**—one which exclusively houses federal inmates and six which house state prisoners.

- The six private prisons housing state inmates in Colorado:

1. Bent County Correctional Facility (capacity 724) – Operated by Corrections Corporation of America (CCA).
2. Crowley County Correctional Facility (capacity 1,754) – Operated by CCA
3. Huerfano County Correctional Facility (capacity 774) – Operated by CCA
4. Kit Carson Correctional Center (capacity 824) – Operated by CCA
5. Cheyenne Mountain Re-entry Center (capacity 500) – Operated by Corrections Education Center (CEC)
6. Brush Correctional Facility for Women (capacity 270) – Operated by GRW, Inc.

- In addition to these six private prisons, GEO (formerly Wackenhut Corrections Corporation) is in the planning process to build a 750 bed private prison in Pueblo and the Colorado Department of Corrections has recently issued a Request for Proposal for another 2,250 beds for men and 750 beds for women.

**Background on Private Prisons**

While privately-owned prisons first came to the U.S. after the Civil War, the contemporary for-profit prison industry was born in the mid-1980’s, when Corrections Corporation of America (CCA) began operation. The other industry leader, the GEO Group (formerly Wackenhut Corrections) built its first prison in Aurora, CO, to house immigrants for the Immigration and Naturalization Service’ (INS, now know as the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, part of the Department of Homeland Security). With the number of prisoners in the U.S. rising so rapidly during the 1990’s state and federal agencies began to turn to private operators to house prisoners. As a result, the percentage of prisoners in private facilities has rapidly increased. In 1987, approximately one-half of one percent of state and federal prisoners were housed in private facilities, by 2004 the number had risen to 6.6%.
Financial Information

Recent figures give Corrections Corporation of America (CCA) the leading market share in the U.S. (at 52%) with the GEO Group (formerly Wackenhut Corrections Corporation) coming in second (22% of the market share, although Geo has the largest international market share). Stock prices for CCA and Wackenhut plummeted in 2000, due largely to CCA’s 1-for 10 reverse stock split and numerous lucrative contracts from the federal government), the industry is still on shaky ground.

Ethical Problems

The concept of profiting from incarceration is one that rightly bothers many people. Put simply:

*For-profit private prison, jails and detention centers have no place in a democratic Society. Profiteering form the imprisonment of human being compromises public Safety and corrupts justice.*

Once the profit motive is introduced to prison operations, the priorities of prison operators changes. In order to improve their profit margin, many corners are cut including both staffing levels and pay rates and services and programs for people in prison. Prioritizing profit jeopardizes both the safety and well-being of both people in prison and staff.

Risks to Public Safety

Many for-profit prisons throughout the country have made the news due to practices which have endangered public safety, according to the American federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), “corrections is an inherently dangerous profession. The best way to deal with the dangers inside the walls of a prison is to have an experienced corrections staff that I properly trained and paid decent wages and benefits.” However, data shows that one method for private prison corporations to turn a profit is to pay employees low wages. Thus, in 1999 the average turnover rate for correctional officers in government-run prisons was 16% to 53% in for-profit. The record in Colorado supports the allegations of AFSCME and indicates that public law enforcement officials are often left to deal with incidents once they have reached crisis proportions in private facilities.

There have been two disturbances in Correctional Service Corporation’s (CSC) Crowley County Correctional Facility (CCCF). In 1999 a riot began when a non-Colorado prisoner (from Washington state) started a riot over inadequate foodservice. Colorado emergency response teams were needed to regain control over the facility. A follow-up investigation (conducted by state DOC employees) determined that CSC employees were not properly trained to detect possible problems, handle disturbances, or even properly use their radios. In July of 2004 another riot occurred due to many of the same problems in 1999. CCA was cited for refusing to hear inmates’ grievances, inadequate food-service and a skeleton crew of poorly trained employees. Inmates who were not involved in the riot have filed a lawsuit against CCA for excessive force used against them in retaliation for the riot.

In retrospect, these problems at CCCF should not have come as too much of a surprise, since the prison’s warden, Mark McKinna (a 23-year veteran of the Colorado DOC), admitted that the facility faced management problems since compensation and benefits are lower than at state prisons, resulting in approximately 70 percent of correctional officers at CCCF having no prior correctional experience. Sure enough, in the first month of operation, CCCF experience a two-day lockdown, fired three employees and accepted three employee resignations.

The Huerfano County Correctional Center, once held up as a national model for CCA’s network of prisons was in the news when a federal lawsuit resulted in two former correctional officers pleading guilty to beating an inmate on several occasions. The two CCA
employees who were later named in the lawsuit declined a trial and agreed to serve at least two years in prison. There is evidence that other correctional officers were present for the assaults. In late 2004 reports came out from the Brush Correctional Facility for women that guards had been sexually assaulting women and a lawsuit has been filed against the warden and several of the staff and is currently pending. The warden resigned soon after the charges were filed.

CCA’s prison in Burlington has also received plenty of media attention. Among the more serious problems at Kit Carson Correctional Center include:

- A riot in 1999 which started due to petty issues concerning a vending machine but which quickly escalated due to staff actions. In fact, charges against the rioting prisoners were dropped due to the judge’s strong concerns about improper staff response to the incident.
- Chronic staffing shortages resulted in a memorable incident where a supervisor who was confronted about having too few officers on duty “flew into a rage,” destroying a metal detector and abruptly leaving the facility. He was later arrested on his way out of town. He cited too much overtime worked and forgetting to take his bi-polar medication as the reasons for his outburst.
- Inadequate staff screening has resulted in an ex-felon and a relative of an inmate working at the prison.
- During the first year of operation, more than half of the correctional officers quit or were fired. And the warden, doctor, kitchen manager, and internal investigator were all fired as well. Their former warden and investigator both allege that their firings were in retaliation for reporting problems with CCA management.

Two things stand out as particularly troublesome in regards to KCCC. First, the State of Colorado renewed the contract for KCCC (at a 2% increase per prisoner per day) despite the fact that the legislature and CDOC both had expressed concerns about the prisons performance. Second, CCA (always focusing on profits) has put their energy into bringing federal inmates into the KCCC in order to stop losses at the ill-performing prison. While state and local agencies have put much effort into dealing with problems at KCCC, apparently CCA has been focusing on the bottom line.

**State Private Prison Audit**

The state Auditor’s office conducted a review of the private prisons following the 2004 riot at Crowley County Correctional Facility. The audit said DOC’s inability to properly manage the five private facilities operating in the state led to numerous inmate problems, and could ignite many more. The audit also said that the DOC knew about specific problems with how the private prisons were operated but did little to nothing to correct them. And when the DOC did point out violations to private facilities it failed to ensure that they were corrected. For instance, the audit found that the private prison monitor filed reports that were actually copies of old documents and had merely changed the date. Additionally, not all the people assigned to monitor the prisons were doing that. They were often assigned other duties and many of the positions just remained unfilled. Fifteen employees were allocated to that unit, but four were assigned to other duties and the key unit manager job was left vacant for three years. Some key findings from the legislative audit include:

- None of the medical clinics at the private prison were licensed, in violation of state law. Nine deaths at private prisons were not reported to the Colorado Department of Health so none of them were investigated. Auditors, though, said they should have been reported. The auditors said seven of the deaths were from natural causes, but two men died from medical complications after prison operators changed their prescription drugs without examining either of them.
Inmates with serious mental illnesses were not seen by mental health staff in a timely manner.

Private prison were serving meals that did not meet state's dietary standards.

The DOC doesn't review staffing patterns at private prisons as part of their contracts.

Some private prison employees have questionable backgrounds, including some who have been convicted of violent crimes.

Private prisons were not properly deducting court-ordered inmate restitution and child support.

Dangerous inmates were sent to some private prison even though state law stipulates private prison should only house medium security prisoners and lower. xx

Since then the Department of Corrections issued a statement in their budget hearing that they agreed with the 16 audit findings by the State Auditor’s April 2005 audit and are working to fix those problems.xxi

---
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